**Green Lake’s redwoods**

Sir,

I was pleased to read Neil Cooper’s (Feb. 94) response to my suggestions in NZ Forestry concerning redwood in New Zealand. His comment that I had failed to mention the Green Lake’s redwoods was well taken. I had heard of this plantation, but had not visited it. So, upon my recent return to New Zealand, that is one of the first things I did.

The redwoods in this plantation, now about 80 years old, are mostly between the access road and the western shore of Green Lake, with some up a creek bottom beyond the picnic area. In this latter area, they are interplanted with and adjacent to Douglas firs, planted in 1912 according to the information sign on the trail. The redwoods were either planted at the same time, or about then.

The form and size of the redwoods, both absolutely and relative to the Douglas firs (and one apparently volunteer radiata pine), are instructive, although not surprising to this California forester. Both the redwoods and the Douglas firs are still healthy and continuing height growth in 1994.

I join Neil Cooper in admiring this redwood plantation, and recommend that folks interested in redwood in New Zealand take the opportunity to visit it when in or near Rotorua. It can be reached by driving toward Lake Taupo on State Highway 5, and taking the Green Lake exit to the left, about eight kilometres after leaving Rotorua. One enters the redwoods after about 2 km, shortly before reaching the picnic grounds above the lake.

Bill Libby
Ohope

**Indigenous plantations**

Sir,

"The need for New Zealand to develop industries based on its forest resources … must be balanced by the equally compelling desire to preserve the environmental values of our forested lands.” With these words A.P. Thomson, Director General of the Forest Service, in 1971, introduced his report “Utilisation of South Island Beech Forests”.

Craig Potton’s paper “A Public Perception of Plantation Forestry”, and the responses it has provoked from the triunity of Fellows (NZ Forestry, November 1994), indicate that almost a quarter of a century later any meeting of minds on what can be agreed as representing this balance is as far away as ever.

It is claimed that for decades the Forest Service had been the undisputed leader of indigenous forest conservation in New Zealand. This, of course, is how it should be; do not foresters proclaim an ethic of stewardship, and has not professional education and training conditioned them to practise the sustained management of forest ecosystems?

In the face, however, of historical apathy from the general public, national policies and incentives to foster agriculture at the expense of forestry, and politicians determined for electoral advantage to promote short-term development rather than long-term sustainable options, it is not very surprising that the Forest Service largely failed in its efforts to halt the deliberate destruction of the greater part of the manageable indigenous resource.

Where were the conservationists then? It is asked. Certainly, it was only at about the time of the Forestry Development Conference in 1969 that an upsurge of interest in conservation began to be taken by the public at large. But, in the end, it was public opinion and not the Forest Service which succeeded in halting the destruction, although by then it was past the eleventh hour.

Could it have been a different story had the Institute in earlier years, by education and explaining the importance of the indigenous resource, tried to get the public on its side to help improve the chances of influencing the politicians? In 1971, for instance, the public still had no access to the working plans of State forests, and those who would try to show an interest were positively discouraged from knowing too much – on the assumption presumably that they might ask inconvenient questions of the Forest Service rather than the politicians.

As a result, almost by default, the perception gained ground that the Forest Ser-