of neighbouring trees. These ‘growth releases’ can provide insight into past forest regeneration processes. The huge variation in ring widths along different radii on the same disc identified in our study, however, indicates that an analysis of growth releases in rimu could be very misleading and of limited use in determining stand disturbance history.
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Mechanical site preparation using excavators

Peter Hall*

ABSTRACT

The use of excavators for site preparation is becoming increasingly popular in New Zealand and overseas. Excavators can be fitted with a wide range of attachments and can fulfil multiple tasks within a forest.

This paper summarises recent LIRO studies of excavators used in a site preparation role in the forest industry.

Windrowing with an excavator fitted with a slash rake achieved 0.46 hectares per productive machine hour at a cost of $240 per hectare.

Spot cultivation by ripping and mounding with an excavator cost $434 per hectare at 0.29 hectares per productive machine hour.

The ROTREE spot cultivator-mounder working on sites with slopes of 0-15° covered 0.23-0.29 hectares per productive machine hour at a cost of $240 per hectare.

Excavators can typically work on steeper slopes than other commonly used site preparation equipment and operate with less site impact.

Introduction

Excavators as base machines for site preparation operations are common in North America, especially Canada, where there has been a rapid increase in the number of excavators used for site preparation in the last five years (Clark, 1993).

The use of excavators as a site preparation prime mover has also gained in popularity in New Zealand in the last three years.

Windrowing of heavy slash on rolling to steep terrain with excavators fitted with slash rakes and modified tracks (Hall, 1992) as an alternative to burning or line raking with tractor is now common practice in much of the South Island (Figure 1).

Cultivation of cutover soils prior to planting is a common practice, especially in the compact pumice soils in the Bay of Plenty. Pinus radiata root growth becomes limited when soil strength exceeds 3 megapascals (Mason and Cullen, 1986). Many of the soils in the Bay of Plenty and in much of the rest of New Zealand exceed this level below a depth of 30-40 cm. The deep cultivation provided by ripping operations reduces the incidence of severe juvenile instability and sometimes increases tree growth, depending on the soil type.

Traditionally the cultivation of these sites has been carried out with a ripper-mounder unit mounted on a 150 kW tractor. Much

Figure 1. Excavator with slash rake windrowed a site logged by contour tracking.
of the development and early use of these units took place on cutovers that had been burnt and were largely clear of logging slash. There has been a marked reduction in the amount of burning being done in the last five years, both in New Zealand and overseas. The main reasons for this are the desire to retain nutrients contained in the slash and duff on site and adverse public reaction to the highly visible smoke clouds created by fires. Consequently, many cutovers now have large volumes of non-merchantable stem wood and branch material present when the cultivation operation takes place. This material can cause problems for tractor-based ripper-mounder units as it tends to accumulate around the ripper and can prevent the discs from mounding properly. The result of these slash accumulations is that many tractor-based ripping-mounding operations now require two machines, a smaller tractor (120 kW) is used with a rake or v-blade to clear a lane through the slash prior to the larger (150 kW) tractor completing the operation with the cultivation unit.

A recently developed alternative to this two pass tractor operation is the excavator-based spot ripper-mounder (Figure 2). The excavator is able to traverse the untreated cutover and remove slash from individual spots prior to making the rip-mound (Figure 3).

The excavator-based operations are also capable of working steeper slopes than tractors (Karsky, 1994) and are affected less by operational delays caused through the machine becoming stuck, as they can free themselves from stumps and holes using the boom.

Other reasons for the increasing popularity of excavators are that they are widely available, are reliable, have good service back up and are capable of performing a number of tasks within a forest. The amount of capital invested is also significantly lower than that required for a similar powered tractor (INFORME, 1994).

Apart from the traditional buckets and rippers, there is a range of more sophisticated attachments for excavators. These include the “Slash Buster” for vegetation and slash mulching on the cutover or along roadsides, the “Towtem Grapple Clam” for roading, shovel logging, and track rehabilitation, the “ROTREE” cultivator for spot cultivation and mounding on cutover and the “VH Mulcher” for spot cultivation.

LIRO have conducted a number of studies looking at excavator-based operations in the last two years. This report summarises the results of these studies.

Figure 2. Excavator with a spot ripper-mounder.

Figure 3. Rip-mound profile for the excavator spot ripper mounder.

[Diagram of Rip-mound profile]
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Excavator windrowing and tractor line raking

Table 1: Summary of study data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tractor line rake</th>
<th>Excavate windrow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>0.69 ha/PMH</td>
<td>0.46 ha/PMH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$145/ha</td>
<td>$240 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slash volume</td>
<td>190 m³/ha</td>
<td>270 m³/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max slope</td>
<td>24°</td>
<td>33°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean slope</td>
<td>12°</td>
<td>17°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of site 20° +</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of site 30° +</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMH = Productive Machine Hour.

The excavator was an Hitachi EX 200 with modified tracks fitted with a 2 m wide 7 tooth slash rake. The tractor was a Liebherr 731 (110 kW) fitted with an angled bull blade. The volume of slash on both sites was very high, as the crop had not been tended and there was no pulp market for small or malformed logs.

The excavator was slower and more expensive than the tractor but left a cleaner, tidier site. It was also working on steeper terrain in heavier slash and treated a greater proportion of the total site than the tractor line raking (Table 1).

Both operations increased the amount of soil disturbance above that created by the logging operation. However there were no differences between the operations in the amount of soil disturbance created. The volume of topsoil removed from the site was negligible in both cases. The tractor was not capable of working on the steeper sections (25°+) that the excavator treated.

2. Excavator spot ripping-mounding (ES) vs tractor two pass continuous furrow ripping-mounding (TRM). (Hall, 1994a)

Table 2: Summary of study data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TRM</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production (Spacing = rows 6m² spots 2.7m)</td>
<td>1.03 ha/PMH</td>
<td>0.29 ha/PMH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$348/ha</td>
<td>$434/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stumps per hectare</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. stumps uprooted pre-treatment</td>
<td>7 per ha</td>
<td>10 per ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. stumps uprooted post treatment</td>
<td>120 per ha</td>
<td>30 per ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slash volume</td>
<td>56 m³/ha</td>
<td>42 m³/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>0-5°</td>
<td>0-5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vol. cultivated soil/tree</td>
<td>1.6 m³</td>
<td>1.7 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mound height</td>
<td>30 cm</td>
<td>45 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Rip depth</td>
<td>45 cm</td>
<td>71 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot quality acceptable</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The excavator in this study was a Cat 320 L with tracks modified to give extra traction and was fitted with the ripper mounder shown in Figure two. The tractors used were a Komatsu D65.

WILCO PRODUCTS

The WILCO spot cultivator is a versatile unit which can be used to create spot mounding, spot ripping-mounding and slash clearing with and without fertiliser application.

It has been developed to cultivate ground with minimum environmental damage prior to the planting out of forests.

The machine, mounted on a 20-tonne excavator, creates large mounds over rips of up to 80 mm in depth.

For further information contact:

WILCO PRODUCTS, PO Box 62, Rotorua. Ph/Fax (07) 349 2527. Mobile 025 951 958.
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with a V-blade and a Cat D7H with a ripper mounder unit.

The TRM and the ES both substantially reduced the area of undisturbed soil (McMahon & Evanson, 1994), with the TRM creating more deep disturbance and uprooting more stumps than the ES. The ES cost approximately 25% more per hectare than the TRM. However it also achieved a substantially better quality result with a 40+ cm mound over a 70+ cm rip depth (Figure 3). The TRM was faster and cheaper than the ES but consistently failed to meet the requirement of a 30 cm high mound over a 60 cm deep rip (Table 2). The main reason for the failure was inadequate rip depth.

3. ROTREE spot cultivator-mounder. (Hall, 1995)

Two versions of the ROTREE spot cultivator-mounder were studied. The prototype weighs 2.6 tonnes and has 700 mm discs with cultivator tines of 920 mm and was mounted on a Cat 320L with modified tracks. The Mk II weighs 3.4 tonnes and has 900 mm discs with cultivator tines of 1250 mm. The Mk II also has a more powerful drive motor. The Mk II was mounted on a Cat 325L also with modified tracks.

Adjustments can be made to the angle of the discs, which changes the mounding height and the length of the cultivator tines, which alters the cultivation depth. The larger version has the potential to build a 40-45 cm mound over a cultivation depth of 70-75 cm. During the study in a hard, dry, rocky soil the machine produced spots with a 30+ cm mound over a 60+ cm cultivation depth (Figure 5) 89% of the time.

The data in the ROTREE studies were obtained when the machine was working in difficult conditions. In a situation more typical of New Zealand the ROTREE may be cost competitive with the ESRM operation.

Table 3: Summary of study data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ROTREE, prototype</th>
<th>ROTREE, Mk II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>0.29 ha/PMH</td>
<td>0.23 ha/PMH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$450/ha</td>
<td>$540/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stumps per hectare</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. stumps uprooted pre treatment</td>
<td>20 per ha</td>
<td>35 per ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. stumps uprooted post treatment</td>
<td>30 per ha</td>
<td>40 per ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slash volume</td>
<td>79.0 m³ per ha</td>
<td>82.3 m³ per ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>5-15°</td>
<td>0-10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vol. cultivated soil/tree</td>
<td>0.75 m³</td>
<td>0.95 m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Mound Height</td>
<td>20 cm</td>
<td>40 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Rip depth</td>
<td>30 cm</td>
<td>65 cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Applications for Excavators

Excavators have also been used to rehabilitate skid sites and extraction tracks (Hall, 1993) when fitted with conventional rippers, buckets, slash rakes and the Towtem grapple clam. Costs for this type of operation ranged from $600 to $1500 per kilo-

Figure 4. The prototype ROTREE mounder working in radiata cutover, ANM Forests, Tasmania.
For the above reasons use of excavators for site preparation operations in New Zealand is likely to increase.
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